

# On Approximation Operators of the Bernstein Type

D. LEVIATAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let the sequence  $\{\lambda_i\} (i \geq 0)$  satisfy

$$0 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots \infty, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

and define (the divided difference)

$$[x^{\lambda_m}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}] = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} x^{\lambda_i} / \omega'_{nm}(\lambda_i), \quad 0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\omega_{nm}(x) = (x - \lambda_m) \dots (x - \lambda_n)$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Denote

$$p_{nm}(x) = (-1)^{n-1} \lambda_m \dots \lambda_{n-1} [x^{\lambda_m}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}], \quad 0 \leq m < n, p_{nn}(x) = x^{\lambda_n}$$

and

$$\alpha_{nm} = \{(1 - \lambda_1/\lambda_m) \dots (1 - \lambda_1/\lambda_{n-1})\}^{1/\lambda_1}, \quad 0 \leq m < n, \alpha_{nn} = 1.$$

It is well known that  $p_{nm}(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

With a function  $f(t)$ , bounded in  $[0, 1]$ , we associate the operators

$$L_m(f, x) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) f(\alpha_{nm}), \quad m \geq 0.$$

These operators, which generalize the Bernstein power-series of Meyer-König and Zeller [7], were first introduced by Jakimosvki and the author in [4], where some approximation properties were stated without proof. (For proofs see [5]). More recently, these operators were redefined and their approximation properties, were studied independently and from a different point of view by Feller [2].

It is our purpose here to discuss the approximation properties of the derivatives of  $L_m(f, x)$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

We make use of the following lemmas.

LEMMA A. Let  $f(t)$  be bounded in  $[0, 1]$ . Then (i) At each point of continuity  $t = x$ ,  $0 < x \leq 1$ , of  $f(t)$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_m(f, x) = f(x). \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) If  $f(t)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ ,  $0 < a < b \leq 1$ , then (2.1) holds uniformly in  $a \leq x \leq b$ . (iii) If  $f(t)$  is continuous in  $[0, b]$ ,  $0 < b \leq 1$ , then (2.1) holds uniformly in  $0 < x \leq b$ . (iv) For  $0 < x \leq 1$ , we have  $L_m(1, x) \equiv 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$ .

Lemma A is Theorem 4.1 of [4] and is proved in [5].

LEMMA B. For  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} p_{nm}(x) = x^{-1} [\lambda_n p_{nm}(x) - \lambda_{n-1} p_{n-1, m}(x)] \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dx} p_{nm}(x) = x^{-1} \lambda_m [p_{nm}(x) - p_{n, m+1}(x)]. \quad (2.3)$$

( $p_{nk}(x) = 0$  for  $n < k$ ).

Lemma B was used by the author several times in the past (see for example [4], (4.5)).

LEMMA C. Let  $f(t)$  be bounded in  $[0, 1]$ . Then for  $0 < x \leq 1$  and every  $m \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} p_{nm}(x) f(\alpha_{nm}). \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $M = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|$ . By (2.3), we have for every  $0 < x \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} p_{nm}(x) f(\alpha_{nm}) \right| \leq M \lambda_m x^{-1} [p_{nm}(x) + p_{n, m+1}(x)].$$

By Lemma A, the series  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x)$  converges to the continuous function 1 for  $0 < x \leq 1$ . Since  $p_{nm}(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 < x \leq 1$ , the convergence is monotonic and thus uniform in  $0 < \delta \leq x \leq 1$  for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore  $L_m(f, x)$  is differentiable and (2.4) holds.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 1. Let  $f(t)$  be a continuously differentiable function in  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then for every fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) = f'(x) \text{ uniformly in } \delta \leq x \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . By (2.4) and (2.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) = x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} [\lambda_n p_{nm}(x) - \lambda_{n-1} p_{n-1, m}(x)] f(\alpha_{nm}). \quad (3.2)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^N [\lambda_n p_{nm}(x) - \lambda_{n-1} p_{n-1, m}(x)] f(\alpha_{nm}) \\ = \sum_{n=m}^N p_{nm}(x) \lambda_n [f(\alpha_{nm}) - f(\alpha_{n+1, m})] + \lambda_N p_{Nm}(x) f(\alpha_{N+1, m}), \end{aligned}$$

and since, for every  $m \geq 1$  and  $0 < x \leq 1$  we have  $\lambda_N p_{Nm}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (see [3] Satz 1), and  $f(t)$  is bounded, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} [\lambda_n p_{nm}(x) - \lambda_{n-1} p_{n-1, m}(x)] f(\alpha_{nm}) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) \lambda_n [f(\alpha_{nm}) - f(\alpha_{n+1, m})].$$

Thus, by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) &= x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) \lambda_n [f(\alpha_{nm}) - f(\alpha_{n+1, m})] \\ &= x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) \lambda_n (\alpha_{nm} - \alpha_{n+1, m}) f'(\theta_{nm}) \\ &= x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) \alpha_{nm} f'(\theta_{nm}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\alpha_{n+1, m} < \theta_{nm} < \alpha_{nm}$ ,  $n \geq m \geq 0$ .

Since  $f'(t)$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$ , for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f'(t_1) - f'(t_2)| < \epsilon$  provided  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ . Take  $m_0$  sufficiently large so that  $\lambda_{m_0}^{-1} < \delta$ ; then for  $n \geq m \geq m_0$  we have

$$0 \leq \alpha_{nm} - \theta_{nm} \leq \alpha_{nm} - \alpha_{n+1, m} = \lambda_n^{-1} \alpha_{nm} \leq \lambda_n^{-1} < \delta.$$

Consequently for  $m \geq m_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) - x^{-1} L_m(t f'(t), x) \right| &\leq x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) \alpha_{nm} |f'(\theta_{nm}) - f'(\alpha_{nm})| \\ &\leq \epsilon x^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{nm}(x) = \epsilon x^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

It follows by Lemma A (ii) that for every fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_m(t f'(t), x) = x f'(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } \delta \leq x \leq 1;$$

hence by (3.4)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dx} L_m(f, x) = f'(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } \delta \leq x \leq 1.$$

This concludes our proof for the case  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . If  $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ , apply Theorem 1 (which is already proved for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ) to the sequence  $\{\lambda_i \lambda_1^{-1}\} (i \geq 0)$  and the function  $g(t) = f(t^{1/\lambda_1})$ .

*Remark.* For another kind of Bernstein type approximation operators known as the generalized Bernstein polynomials (see [6]), a theorem similar to Theorem 1 was given by Badaljan [1].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(t)$  be bounded in  $[0, 1]$ . If  $f(t)$  is nondecreasing (non-increasing) in  $[0, 1]$ , then (for each fixed  $m \geq 0$ ) so is  $L_m(f, x)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the first equality in (3.3) is proved for every function bounded in  $[0, 1]$ , our result follows immediately by (3.3).

#### REFERENCES

1. G. V. BADALJAN, Concerning the derivative of Bernstein's quasi-polynomials, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armensk SSR, ser. Phys.-Math. Nauk* **14**, (1961), 5-16.
2. W. FELLER, On Müntz' theorem and completely monotone functions, *Am. Math. Monthly* **75** (1968), 342-350.
3. F. HAUSDORFF, Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen, *Math. Z.* **9**, (1921), 280-299.
4. A. JAKIMOVSKI AND D. LEVIATAN, A property of approximation operators and applications to Tauberian constants. *Math. Z.* **102**, (1967), 177-204.
5. A. JAKIMOUSKI AND D. LEVIATAN, Completeness and approximation operators. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* To appear.
6. G. G. LORENTZ, "Bernstein polynomials". Univ. of Toronto Press, 1953.
7. W. MEYER-KÖNIG AND K. ZELLER, Bernsteinsche Potenzreihen, *Studia Math.* **19**, (1960), 89-94.